Ohio

Estimations of the scale, scope and cost of child sexual exploitation and abuse (CSEA) in the United States are alarming.¹ The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention reports that approximately one in four girls and one in 20 boys will experience sexual abuse during childhood in US,² while the estimated lifetime cost per child sexual abuse victim is \$282,734 million.³⁴ The good news is that CSEA is preventable. A range of interventions have been identified to help prevent child abuse and sexual violence from occurring in the first place and to minimize the impacts on survivors and their families.⁵⁶⁷

Economist Impact's Out of the Shadows Index, supported by World Childhood Foundation USA, was designed to shine a spotlight on state action—and inaction—to address CSEA. The assessment includes more than 170 metrics aggregated into 22 indicators and grouped into four categories to gauge the extent to which states have introduced essential measures to prevent and respond to this pressing issue. It aims to be a tool to highlight areas for prioritization, drive change, and benchmark progress.



Score	Rank
46/100	16/28

Background indicators

Population (m)	11.79
Median household income (USD)	62,262
Poverty rate (% below poverty level)	13
Educational attainment (% with a bachelor's degree or higher)	29
Female representation in state government (%)	29
Investment in education per pupil (USD):	14,613

State overview

While Ohio has taken several important steps to address CSEA, increased investment is needed to ensure a more comprehensive and holistic approach to this pressing issue. Specifically, greater focus should be placed on building prevention capacity and supporting survivors' access to justice and compensation.

State spotlights

Ohio's **Be the One public awareness campaign**, led by the Ohio Alliance to End Sexual Violence in partnership with the Ohio Department of Health, focuses on preventing sexual violence and relationship violence among pre-teens and teens.⁸

Ohio has demonstrated its support for the critical work of the state's Children's Advocacy Centers (CACs),⁹ with House Bill 33 earmarking \$3 million for fiscal years 2024 and 2025 to be distributed as grants to CACs to help "coordinate the investigation, prosecution, and treatment of child sexual abuse while helping abused children heal".

Priority areas for future focus

Strengthen the legal framework against sexual violence by:

- Establishing a minimum marriage age of 18 without exception; and by
- Adopting a clear and explicit statutory definition of sexual consent.¹⁰

Boost prevention capacity through the development of a statewide child sexual abuse prevention plan or strategy, encompassing inperson and online abuse. Actions should include:

- Enacting more comprehensive standards for sex education and HIV/STI instruction requiring that such education includes information on contraception and consent and be evidence-based, medically accurate and inclusive of *all* students;
- Mandating that student-focused child sexual abuse prevention and dating violence education covers potential abuse that can be experienced online; and by
- Requiring regular training on child sexual abuse and teen dating violence for employees and volunteers of youthserving organizations beyond schools.¹¹

Guarantee children's access to services of the highest standards by:

- Ensuring that CACs across the state have access to adequate and consistent funding; and by
- Requiring ongoing, statewide training for child protective service investigators, law enforcement and prosecutors on providing a trauma-informed response to child sexual abuse.

Support survivors' access to justice and compensation by:


- Eliminating the criminal statute of limitations for all child sexual abuse crimes *and* the civil statute of limitations for child sexual abuse claims against all defendants; and by
- Reforming the state's eligibility requirements for crime victim compensation to address potential barriers to critical financial assistance for survivors of child sexual abuse (eg, to cover costs related to medical and therapeutic care).¹²

SUPPORTED BY



What leaders on the ground are saying

For leaders of the CAC movement in Ohio, access to reliable funding remains a top priority. As Danielle Vandegriff, Executive Director of Ohio Network of Children's Advocacy Centers, explains, "Funding is essential to the operation of every CAC in Ohio, whether those funds come from fundraisers, donations, etc. For many CACs this funding is needed to keep their doors open to provide services to children, as well as to continue to grow and adapt their services to the ever-changing needs of youth in Ohio. As child abuse awareness increases, children are disclosing abuse more, increasing the need for the services that CACs provide, yet with this increase in service there is not always an increase in funds. We are beyond thankful for the recent support that Ohio has shown CACs by providing state funding and we hope to see this continue so that we can continue to have a positive impact on children and families in Ohio."



Issues spotlights¹³

Creating protective environments		
Mandated sex education and HIV/STI instruction	Sex ed / HIV/STI instruction	
Comprehensiveness of sex education and HIV/STI instruction (if/when provided) ¹⁴	Scale of comprehensiveness	0/7
Mandated sexual abuse prevention and awareness education	Yes / no	•
Mandated online sexual abuse prevention and awareness education	Yes / no	0
Required school dating violence policies	Yes / no	
Required training on child sexual abuse (CSA) for educators	Yes / no	
Required training on CSA for youth-serving organization employees	Yes / no	0
Educator code of ethics: appropriate teacher/ student boundaries	Yes/no	•
Addressing risk and protective factors		
Statewide child sexual abuse prevention plan	Yes / no	\bigcirc
Child marriage laws	18 without exception / required proof of age	
Mandated parental leave	Yes / no	0
Non-discrimination statutory protections ¹⁵	Protection for sexual orientation / gender identity	
Legislated minimum wage above the low- income threshold	Yes / no	0
Income-eligible children with access to early head start	%	6.3
Eligible children under age 3 served in evidence-based home-visiting programs	%	8.6
Regular collection of prevalence data on child sexual abuse	Yes / no	0

Building trauma-informed systems		
Statute defining CACs in line with national standards ¹⁶	Yes / no	•
Statute requiring the use of CACs in suspected CSA cases, where available	Yes / no	
State funding for CACs: general revenue and special revenue	General revenue / special revenue	
Mandated training for child protective services investigators ¹⁷	Child sexual abuse / trauma	
Mandated training for law enforcement	Child sexual abuse / trauma	
Mandated training for prosecutors	Child sexual abuse / trauma	
Preventing retraumatization in court: testifying by alternative means in CSA cases	Younger children / all minors	
Preventing retraumatization in court: hearsay exception in CSA cases	Younger children / all minors	
Supporting justice and healing		
Medical care following sexual abuse: minors' authority to consent	Yes / no	•
Medical care following sexual abuse: right to an advocate	Yes / no	\bigcirc
Rape kit reform	Some reform / full reform	
Criminal statute of limitations: full elimination for all CSA crimes	Yes / no	\bigcirc
Civil statute of limitations: full elimination for all CSA claims	Yes / no	\bigcirc
Revival or window law for expired civil claims	Yes / no	
	Yes/no Yes/no	

Figure 2 features a limited sample of the data included in the index. For the full set of indicators and a detailed explanation of the scoring, sources and weightings, visit the <u>Out of the</u> <u>Shadows Index website</u> to download the project's white paper, methodology report and interactive model.

For further information, please contact:

- Katherine Stewart katherinestewart@economist.com
- Laura Avery <u>lauraavery@economist.com</u>

Endnotes:

- 1. The index and profile may refer to specific forms of child maltreatment or sexual violence (eg, child sexual abuse or child sexual exploitation) to distinguish between findings or areas of research.
- 2. https://www.cdc.gov/violenceprevention/childsexualabuse/fastfact.html#:~:text=Many%20children%20wait%20to%20report,States%20experience%20child%20sexual%20abuse
- 3. \$282,734 is the average lifetime cost for female victims of non-fatal child sexual abuse (CSA). For male victims, it is approximately \$74,691 (although this lower estimate is likely influenced by the insufficient data available on productivity losses). The lifetime cost for victims of fatal CSA per female and male victim was estimated, on average, to be \$1,128,334 and \$1,482,933, respectively. All estimates pertain to the year 2015.
- 4. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29533869/#:~:text=Estimating%2020%20new%20cases%20of,%241%2C482%2C933%2C%20respectively%2C%20and%20the%20average
- 5. https://www.cdc.gov/violenceprevention/pdf/CAN-Prevention-Resource_508.pdf
- 6. https://www.cdc.gov/violenceprevention/pdf/SV-Prevention-Resource_508.pdf
- 7. https://www.togetherforgirls.org/en/resources/what-works-to-prevent-sexual-violence-against-children-evidence-review
- 8. https://icanbetheone.com/#:~:text=Be%20the%20One%20Ohio%20is%20an%20initiative%20led%20by%20the,communities%20to%20prevent%20sexual%20violence.
- 9. Children's Advocacy Centers (CACs) are organizations located throughout the US that help facilitate a multidisciplinary and child-centered response to CSEA. These centers bring together several of the key actors—law enforcement, child protective services investigators, medical and mental health professionals, forensic interviewers, victim advocates, and more—under one roof, helping to minimize the number of times a child has to be interviewed and offering critical therapeutic and other support to children and their families.
- 10. This definition should include reference to consent being "freely" or "voluntarily" given.
- 11. In Ohio, training on child sexual abuse must be incorporated into the in-service training requirements for each person employed by any school district in order to work in a school as a nurse, teacher, counselor, school psychologist or administrator (OH Rev. Code § 3319.073). No similar requirement exists, however, for employees of youth-serving organizations beyond schools.
- 12. Key barriers that can prevent survivors from accessing victim compensation include set timeframes for when an application can be filed and/or requiring a police report as a condition for eligibility.
- 13. The research for the 2024 index was conducted between March and September 2023. As such, the findings reflect the most recent available data at the time the research was completed.
- 14. "Comprehensiveness" is assessed based on the following standards: whether the state has a law or statewide rules, regulations or standards with the force of law requiring that sex education and HIV/STI instruction be evidence-based, medically accurate, culturally appropriate and inclusive of all students, and whether related courses are required to include information about contraception and consent.
- 15. This figure indicates whether statewide laws or policies explicitly prohibit discrimination on the basis of sexual orientation and/or gender identity in all of the following areas: employment, housing, and education.
- 16. "National standards" refers to the National Children's Alliance's National Standards of Accreditation.
- 17. These indicators consider whether the state mandates specialized training for child protective services investigators, law enforcement, and prosecutors on CSA and/or trauma at regular intervals (eg, every two years). A separate indicator assesses basic training requirements for mandated reporters in the state more broadly.
- 18. This indicator considers whether state law establishing eligibility for the state's Crime Victim Compensation Programs provides an explicit time frame exception that is applicable to survivors of CSA (eg, minor victims of crime have up to the age of 21 to file a claim).
- 19. This indicator assesses whether state law establishing eligibility for the state's Crime Victim Compensation Programs provides alternatives to making a police report for survivors of CSA (such as reports made to child protective services, a sexual assault counselor, or a CAC employee; a restraining or civil protection order granted to the victim; or records from a sexual assault forensic examination). Limited, time bound, or vague exceptions to this requirement were not considered.